

Office Point of Contact Task Order 10.01 Performance Evaluation

Headquarters Information Technology Support Services

NNH06CC93B			
NASA OFFICE POINT	F OF CONTACT	PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE	SUITE
INSTRUCTIONS			
 Please provide your overall rating for this performance period for each of the evaluation criteria. If additional space is needed for comments, please attach a separate sheet. if soliciting input from others, please consolidate into one overall rating. 			
EVALUATION CRITERIA			
CUSTOMER SATISFACTION WORK EFFORT	Please consider: Operations maintained with contract metrics; a customer oriented service environment is maintained; tasks, deliverables, service requests and problems are completed in accordance with schedules and project plans; completeness and quality of work and associated documentation; response times completed within established metrics; degree of flexibility and responsiveness to meet changing priorities and schedules; and effectiveness of communications with the customer. OVERALL RATING (See below for rating descriptions): EXCELLENT VERY GOOD GOOD* SATISFACTORY* POOR*		
	*NOTE: If rating is Good, Satisfactory, or Poor, please provide comments.		
MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVENESS	Please consider: Management visibility and responsiveness; effective utilization of resources and appropriate skill mix; recognition of work order priorities; effectiveness, efficiency, and appropriateness of communications among contractor staff and with the NASA interfaces; identification, resolution, approaches, and timely solutions to problems; establishment and maintenance of policies and procedures; effective integration of subcontractors and team members into a smoothly-operating team. OVERALL RATING (See below for rating descriptions):		
	EXCELLENT VERY GOOD GOOD* SATISFA	ACTORY* POOR*	
	*NOTE: If rating is Good, Satisfactory, or Poor, please provide comments.		
COMMENTS:			
NASA OFFICE POINT	Г OF CONTACT <i>(Signature)</i>	DA	ΓE
EXCELLENT	Of exceptional merit; exemplary performance in a timely, efficient and economical manner; no deficiencies noted.		
VERY GOOD	Very effective performance; fully responsive to task order requirements; accomplishment in a timely, efficient and economic; only minor deficiencies.		
GOOD	Effective performance; fully responsive to task order requirements; reportable deficiencies, but with little identifiable effect on overall performance.		
SATISFACTORY	Meets minimum acceptable standards; adequate results; reportable deficiencies with identifiable, but not substantial, effects on overall performance.		
POOR	Does not meet minimum acceptable standards in one or more areas; remedial action required in one or more areas; deficiencies in one or more areas which adversely affect overall performance.		